



Our Lady & St Patrick's Catholic Primary School

Pupil premium strategy statement

Expenditure evaluation

Strategy plan

"I was disadvantaged as a child, yet I had the advantage of being in the company of great teachers."

(A.P.J. Abdul Khan, 11th President of India)

"Every one of our children is carrying something the world is waiting for – it's just the world hasn't got it yet," Sister Judith Russi

The 'Pupil Premium' is a government initiative that provides extra funding aimed at pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds. Research shows that pupils from deprived backgrounds underachieve compared to their peers and that there is a strong link between eligibility for free school meals and underachievement. The Pupil Premium is designed to help each school boost the attainment of disadvantaged children and reduce the gap between the highest and the lowest achievers. The government has used pupils' entitlement to free school meals (FSM) and children looked after by the local authority (CLA) as an indicator for deprivation. The funding is allocated according to the number of pupils on roll who have been eligible for free school meals at any point in the last 6 years (known as 'Ever6 FSM'), an allocation for each pupil who has been 'Looked After' (in care) and a smaller amount for the children of service families.

Principles

- To ensure that teaching and learning opportunities meet the needs of all pupils.
- To ensure that appropriate provision is made for pupils who belong to vulnerable groups, this includes ensuring that the needs of socially disadvantaged pupils are adequately assessed and addressed.
- In making provision for socially disadvantaged pupils, we recognise that not all pupils who receive free school meals will be socially disadvantaged.
- We also recognise that not all pupils who are socially disadvantaged are registered for free school meals. We reserve the right to allocate the Pupil Premium funding to support any pupil, or groups of pupils the schools have legitimately identified as being socially disadvantaged.

• Pupil Premium funding will be allocated following a needs analysis which will identify priority groups or individuals. Limited funding and resources means that not all children receiving free school meals will be in receipt of pupil premium interventions at one time.

Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2023 to 2024 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Our Lady & St Patrick's Catholic Primary School
Number of pupils in school	31
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	23%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2023-2026
Date this statement was published	September 2023
Date on which it will be reviewed	January 2024, July 2024
Statement authorised by	
Pupil premium lead	Cathy Blatchford
Governor / Trustee lead	Darral Saw

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year (23-24)	£ 45,105
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year (Tutoring funding - £2835)	£4640
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	£ 49745

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers.

We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- Remove barriers to learning created by poverty, family circumstance and background
- Narrow the attainment gaps between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged counterparts both within school and nationally
- Ensure ALL pupils are able to read fluently and with good understanding to enable them to access the breadth of the curriculum
- Develop confidence in their ability to communicate effectively in a wide range of
- contexts
- Enable pupils to look after their social and emotional wellbeing and to develop
- resilience.
- Access a wide range of opportunities to develop their knowledge and understanding of the world
- effectively support those disadvantaged pupils who also have SEND
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challeng e number	Detail of challenge
1	Social, emotional and mental health
	Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment.
2	Attendance
	Our attendance data over the last 3 years indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils has been between 4 - 5% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils.
	Approx 42% of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent' compared to 18% of their peers during that period. Our assessments and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.
3	Parental engagement
	Our records and experience shows us that families of our disadvantaged children are less likely to be involved in the school life of their children. Home issues affect engagement with learning and the general wellbeing of the children. Often the wider family need support to ensure success for children attending school.
4	Gaps in reading, writing and maths
	Internal and external (where available) assessments indicate that maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils is significantly below that of non-disadvantaged pupils.
	On entry to Reception class in the last 3 years, between 60% and 100% of our disadvantaged pupils arrive below age-related expectations compared to 10 - 30% of other pupils. This gap remains steady to the end of KS2.
5	Speech, language and communication
	Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils indicate underdeveloped oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among many disadvantaged pupils. These are evident from Reception through to KS2 and in general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	 Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by: qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations a significant reduction in bullying a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils
To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	 Sustained high attendance from 2024/25 demonstrated by: the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than 5%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced to no gap. the percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below 15% and the figure among disadvantaged pupils being no more than 5% lower than their peers.
Improved parental engagement with families reporting they feel well supported.	Outcomes to show that parental engagement is high and this is having a positive impact on the attainment of their children.
Improved attainment for disadvantaged children at the end of KS2 in reading, writing and maths.	Outcomes to show there is no gap and attainment is at least in line with national outcomes for disadvantaged.
Improved oral language skills and vocabulary among disadvantaged pupils.	Assessments and observations indicate significantly improved oral language among disadvantaged pupils. This is evident when triangulated with other sources of evidence, including engagement in lessons, book scrutiny and ongoing formative assessment.

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £18,531

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed	Review
Coaching and mentoring by Leaders for teachers to secure good progress for children (Maths/Literacy/Wi der curriculum Leaders)	Quality first teaching with a mastery approach is shown to improve outcomes for children through EEF toolkit.	4 COST: £6,677 1/2 day a week leadership cover for Lit/Ma leaders' release to monitor & support teaching	
Embed dialogic interventions across the school as per Plymouth Oracy project	EEF Oral Language Interventions - research shows that embedding structured approaches to teaching vocabulary and reading benefit children's oral language	2,4 COST: £350 Neli - 30 mins day of MN time. £1687 Embed oracy strategies as per Y2 of Plymouth oracy project.	
Purchase Power Maths for all year groups to enable mastery approach to maths teaching and learning.	EEF Mastery teaching demonstrates a significant impact on outcomes.	4 COST: £3000 Power maths materials (excl cost picked up centrally)	
SENDCo support for teachers to secure high impact targets matched to individual needs	EEF toolkit has a number of educational research projects that demonstrate the high impact of individualised programmes and targets.	4,5 COST: £6009 (15% RO) SENDCo time for improving teaching of PP/SEND crossover	
Accelerated Reader purchased	A love of reading, with access to	2,4,5	

to ensure children have high quality texts to read.	high quality texts enables vocabulary and language development which impacts on writing.	COST AR: £2095	
---	--	--------------------------	--

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £ 26,625

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed	Review
Teaching Assistants used as part of the phonics RWI delivery for all EYFS & KS1 children. This enables more targeted groups to secure better progress	EEF toolkit shows that smaller groups, enabling more targeted intervention, secures better progress.	4 COST: £8555 5% of TA total salary Whole school training 30th October 23	
Targeted interventions for children that have not passed the phonic assessment, or are not fluent readers.	Phonics has a positive impact overall (+5 months) with very extensive evidence and is an important component in the development of early reading skills, particularly for children from disadvantaged backgrounds.	COST: £7919 25% of ZNB & TWs TA total salary	
Target interventions for children that are not at ARE in maths, or have not made what the school would consider good progress.	Access to high quality teaching is the most important lever schools have to improve outcomes for their pupils. It is particularly important to ensure that when pupils are receiving support from a teaching assistant, this supplements	COST: £7919 25% of ZNB & TWs TA total salary	

	teaching but does not reduce the amount of high-quality interactions they have with their classroom teacher both in and out-of-class.		
Writing conferencing for identified children that are not at ARE, or have not made what the school would consider good progress.	Research particularly emphasises the usefulness of 'live' verbal feedback, which is immediate, relevant and allows children to reflect on and attend to learning points while actually still engaged in their writing. It is seen as superior to 'after-the-event' written feedback (Ferguson & Young 2020). EEF shows individualised instruction can increase attainment by 4 months.	COST: £2232 5% of teacher M3 salary	

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £21,891

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed	Review
Engage services of a family support worker	Pupils are safe and are confident in themselves and can manage their emotions effectively. Strengthened partnership with parents/carers.	3 COST (£7980)	

	Parents/Carers regularly		
	support pupils with learning.		
Trauma informed practices and Thrive practitioners to support the social, emotional and mental health of all children, but particularly the disadvantaged	EEF toolkit research demonstrates moderate to high impact for those children who have access to wellbeing support.	1 COST: £ 5745 - 50% JS salary £ 4411 20% KE salary	
Financial support of 75% available to support disadvantaged pupils' access to extracurricular activities and trips.	The opportunity to develop cultural capital without financial barriers, can support personal development and well being.	3 COST : £1000	
Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's Improving School Attendance advice.	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence.	2 COST: £1755 ½ SLA with attendance solutions	
This will involve training and release time for staff to develop and implement new procedures and appointing attendance/supp ort officers to improve attendance.			
Contingency fund for acute issues.	Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount	All COST: £1000	

of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified.	

Total budgeted cost: £67,047 (the school feel that additional amounts should be added from our general budget)

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 22-23 academic years.

Review:

1. Learning is less disrupted by poor attendance which enables pupils to make better progress.

	All	SEND	PP
% Absence 2022-23	94.8%	93.4%	91.7%
Persistent Absence 2022-23	17.7%	32.4%	41.2%

Whilst overall attendance has increased, the number of persistently absent SEN and PP children has increased.

- 2. To improve oral language for all children but particularly disadvantaged children. Vocabulary instruction has had a positive impact on children across the school with monitoring evidencing a higher level of improved ability to use tier 2 and 3 vocabulary. In July 23 overall attainment in Early Years was 65%, with only 3 out of 6 PP children at ARE. Oracy remains a high priority with the school taking part in a 2 year oracy programme from Sept 22-24.
- 3. To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.

The school was able to ensure all staff had training in trauma informed practices and Thrive interventions throughout. This supported children in being more able to engage with their learning.

4. To increase attainment in Reading, writing and maths, narrowing the gap with non PP

Legend	Well below Below					
Pupil Premium 37 pupils · Average: ARE		32%		43%		11%
Not Pupil Premium 105 pupils · Average: ARE	400/		49%		30%	
	Writing					
Pupil Premium	Well below Below	ARE GDS No data	41%		24%	8%
37 pupils · Average: Below Not Pupil Premium 105 pupils · Average: ARE		26%	4170	55%	2470	10%
	Maths					
Legend Pupil Premium	Well below Below					
37 pupils · Average: ARE	14%	27%		46%		14%
105 pupils · Average: ARE			54%		28%	
88% made 19% made	e at least ex e more thar	n expected progr kpected progr n expected progr kpected progr	ress in writir ogress com	ng compare pared to 10	d to 86% % non Pl	of non F ⊃.
88% made 19% made 85% made 8% made i	e at least ex e more thar e at least ex more than Reading	xpected progr n expected progr xpected progr expected progr	ogress com ress in writir ogress com ress in math gress comp	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non F <u>-</u> of non P
88% made 19% made 85% made 8% made i Legend	e at least exercise more than a at least exercise at least exercise more than Reading Below Expected Exercise 1	xpected progr	ogress com ress in writir ogress com ress in math gress comp	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non F <u>-</u> of non P
88% made 19% made 85% made 8% made i	e at least ex e more than e at least ex more than Reading Below Expected E 12%	xpected progr n expected progr xpected progr expected progr	ogress com ress in writir ogress com ress in math gress comp	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared ared to 17%	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non F <u>-</u> of non P
88% made 19% made 85% made 85% made 8% made r Legend Pupil Premium 26 pupils - Average: +0.0 Not Pupil - Average: -0.0	e at least exemptions for the example of the exampl	xpected progr n expected progr xpected progr expected progr expected progr	ogress com ress in writir ogress com ress in math gress comp «pected 73%	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared ared to 17%	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non F <u>-</u> of non P
88% made 19% made 85% made 8% made r Legend 26 pupils - Average: +0.0 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: -0.0 Legend Pupil Premium	e at least exe e more than e at least exe more than Below Expected • Exe 12% 13% Writing	xpected progr n expected progr xpected progr expected progr	ogress com ress in writir ogress com ress in math gress comp «pected 73%	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared ared to 17%	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non F <u>-</u> of non P
88% made 19% made 85% made 8% made r Legend 26 pupils - Average: +0.0 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: -0.0	e at least exempts at l	xpected progr n expected progr xpected progr expected progr expected progr	ogress com ress in writir ogress com ress in math gress comp «pected 73%	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared ared to 17%	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non F <u>P</u> of non P
88% made 19% made 85% made 85% made 8% made i Legend 26 pupils - Average: +0.0 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: +0.1 Legend Pupil Premium 26 pupils - Average: +0.1 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: +0.1	e at least exe more than at least exe more than Reading Below Expected D Exe 12% 13% Writing Below Expected D Exe 12% 14% Maths	xpected program xpected program xpected program expected program xpected Progra	ogress com ress in writir ogress com ress in math gress comp «pected 73% 78 «pected 69% 75	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared ared to 17%	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non F of non P 15% 9%
88% made 19% made 85% made 85% made 8% made r Legend 26 pupils - Average: +0.0 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: +0.1 Legend Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: +0.1 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: +0.1 Legend Pupil Premium	e at least exe more than at least exe more than Below Expected • Exe 12% 13% Writing • Below Expected • Exe 12% 14% Maths	xpected progr n expected progr xpected progr expected progr expected progr	ogress com ress in writin ogress com ress in math gress comp «pected 73% 78 «pected 69% 75	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared ared to 17%	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non F of non P 15% 9%
88% made 19% made 19% made 85% made 8% made n Legend 26 pupils - Average: +0.0 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: +0.1 Legend 77 pupils - Average: +0.1 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: +0.1 Not Pupil Premium 77 pupils - Average: +0.1	e at least exemptions for the second	xpected program xpected program xpected program expected program xpected Progra	ogress com ress in writin ogress com ress in math gress comp «pected 73% 78 «pected 69% 75	ng compare pared to 10 ns compared ared to 17%	d to 86% 1% non Pf d to 91%	of non P. of non F

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider	
Powermaths	Pearson	
Times Tables Rockstars	Maths Circle	
Accelerated Reader	Renaissance Learning	
Neli	Nuffield	